1	Amanda C. Sommerfeld (State Bar No. 185052)	
2	asommerfeld@JonesDay.com JONES DAY	
3	555 South Flower Street Fiftieth Floor	
3	Los Angeles, CA 90071.2300	
4	Telephone: +1.213.489.3939 Facsimile: +1.213.243.2539	
5		
6	Scott Morrison (State Bar No. 320167) scottmorrison@jonesday.com	
7	JONES DAY 4655 Executive Drive, Suite 1500	
8	San Diego, CA 92121	
	Telephone: +1.858.314.1200 Facsimile: +1.844.345.3178	
9	Patricia T. Stambelos (SBN 166998)	
10	patricia@patriciastambelos.com STAMBELOS LAW OFFICE	
11	543 Country Club Drive, Suite B209	
12	Simi Valley, CA 93065 Telephone: +1.805.578.3474	
13	Facsimile: +1.805.994.0199	
	Attorneys for Defendants	
14	SKYWEST, INC. AND SKYWEST AIRLINES, INC.	
15	ŕ	
16	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
17	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
18	SAN FRANCI	ISCO DIVISION
19	CODY MEEK, et al.,	Case No. 3:17-cv-01012-JD
20		
21	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT SKYWEST AIRLINES, INC.'S AMENDED ANSWER TO
	V.	PLAINTIFFS' CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
22 23	SKYWEST, INC. and SKYWEST AIRLINES, INC.,	CLASS ACTION COMI LAINT
24	Defendants.	
25		
26	SkyWest Airlines, Inc. ("SkyWest"), for	ritself and for no other defendant, hereby answers
27	the correspondingly numbered paragraphs of	Plaintiffs Cody Meek ("Meek"), Jeremy Barnes
28	("Barnes"), and Coryell Ross's ("Ross") (coll	ectively, "Plaintiffs") Consolidated Class Action

SkyWest Airlines Inc.'s Amended Answer to Plaintiffs' Consolidated Complaint Case No. 3:17-cv-01012-JD Complaint ("CCAC") as follows:

#### 2

1

## 3

### 4 5

## 7

6

# 8

# 1011

## 12 13

## 14

# 1516

### 17

### 18

# 1920

## 21

# 2223

٠.

2425

26

27

28

#### CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

The first, un-numbered paragraph of the CCAC amounts to introductory statements and conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest Airlines, Inc. admits that it employed Plaintiffs and denies that SkyWest, Inc. employed Plaintiffs. SkyWest denies the remaining allegations in the first paragraph of the CCAC.

The second, un-numbered paragraph of the CCAC amounts to introductory statements and conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in the second paragraph of the CCAC.

The third, un-numbered paragraph of the CCAC amounts to introductory statements and conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest admits that Plaintiffs Barnes and Ross seek to bring a representative action on behalf of themselves, similarly situated employees, and the State of California under California's Private Attorney General Act ("PAGA"), but denies that any relief under PAGA whatsoever is warranted. SkyWest denies the remaining allegations in the third paragraph of the CCAC.

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1. Paragraph 1 amounts to introductory statements and conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 1.
- 2. SkyWest alleges that the quotation or representation of the 2014 Customer Service Policy Manual ("Policy Manual") is incomplete and inaccurate and that the Policy Manual speaks for itself. SkyWest admits that Plaintiffs were formerly employed by SkyWest Airlines, Inc. as frontline employees. SkyWest further admits that Plaintiffs' typical work duties include marshaling aircraft, loading/unloading and sorting freight and baggage, servicing the aircraft, assisting with pushback and towing, deicing and other duties as assigned. SkyWest denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 2.
- 3. This Court previously held that the Customer Service Policy Manual is a CBA. ECF No. 90. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 3 amount to conclusions of law to which no

response is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 3.

- 4. Paragraph 4 amounts to conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 4.
- 5. Paragraph 5 amounts to introductory statements and conclusions of law to which no response is required. SkyWest denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 5.

#### **LEGAL BASES FOR COMPLAINT**

- 6. Paragraph 6 amounts to introductory statements and conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest admits that Plaintiffs have filed this action on behalf of themselves and a purported class of individuals, seeking certain relief against SkyWest, but denies that Plaintiffs, or any putative class member, are entitled to relief and denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 6, and subparts A through G of Paragraph 6.
- 7. Paragraph 7 amounts to introductory statements and conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest admits that Plaintiffs have filed this action on behalf of themselves and a purported class of individuals, seeking certain relief against SkyWest, but denies that Plaintiffs, or any putative class member, are entitled to relief and denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 7.
- 8. Paragraph 8 amounts to introductory statements and conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest admits that Plaintiffs have filed this action on behalf of themselves and a purported class of individuals, seeking certain relief against SkyWest, but denies that Plaintiffs, or any putative class member, are entitled to relief and denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 8.

### **JURISDICTION & VENUE**

- 9. Whether jurisdiction is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) is a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest admits that jurisdiction is proper in this Court. SkyWest denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 9.
  - 10. SkyWest admits that this Court has personal jurisdiction over SkyWest. SkyWest

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

15

17

21

22

23

27

28

18.

to do business in California, and whose principal office is located at 444 South River Road, St.

SkyWest admits that SkyWest Airlines, Inc. is a Utah corporation that it registered

1	George, Utah 84	1790. SkyWest denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 18.
2	19. S	SkyWest admits that SkyWest Airlines, Inc. is a wholly owned, non-unionized
3	subsidiary of Sk	yWest, Inc.
4	20. Т	The allegations in Paragraph 20 constitute legal conclusions to which no response
5	is required. To	the extent that a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph
6	20. SkyWest A	irlines, Inc. denies that SkyWest, Inc. ever employed Plaintiffs.
7	21.	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 21.
8	22. S	SkyWest admits that it has been engaged in commerce within California at all
9	times relevant to	o this action, but it denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 22.
10		SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS
11	23. S	SkyWest admits the allegations in Paragraph 23.
12	24. S	SkyWest admits the allegations in Paragraph 24.
13	25. S	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 25.
14	26. S	SkyWest admits the allegations in Paragraph 26.
15	27. S	SkyWest admits that it has entered into a collective bargaining agreement under
16	the Railway Lab	por Act that includes a set of common policies and procedures applicable to
17	Frontline Emplo	byees regarding the payment of wages and overtime and the provision of meal and
18	rest breaks. Sky	West denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 27.
19	28. S	SkyWest alleges that the quotation or representation of the Policy Manual is
20	incomplete and	inaccurate and that the Policy Manual speaks for itself. SkyWest denies the
21	remaining allega	ations in Paragraph 28.
22	29. S	SkyWest alleges that the quotation or representation of the Policy Manual is
23	incomplete and	inaccurate and that the Policy Manual speaks for itself. SkyWest denies the
24	remaining allega	ations in Paragraph 29.
25	30. S	SkyWest alleges that the representation of the DayForce Employee Guide is
26	incomplete and	inaccurate and that the DayForce Employee Guide speaks for itself. SkyWest
27	denies the remai	ining allegations in Paragraph 30.
28	31. S	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 31.

1	32.	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 32.
2	33.	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 33.
3	34.	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 34.
4	35.	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 35.
5	36.	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 36.
6	37.	SkyWest alleges that the quotation or representation of the Policy Manual is
7	incomplete a	nd inaccurate and that the Policy Manual speaks for itself. SkyWest denies the
8	remaining all	egations in Paragraph 37.
9	38.	SkyWest alleges that the quotation or representation of the Policy Manual is
10	incomplete a	nd inaccurate and that the Policy Manual speaks for itself. SkyWest denies the
11	remaining all	egations in Paragraph 38.
12	39.	The allegations in Paragraph 39 constitute legal conclusions to which no response
13	is required ar	nd the referenced statutes and local wage ordinances speak for themselves. SkyWest
14	denies the rea	maining allegations in Paragraph 39.
15	40.	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 40.
16	41.	SkyWest alleges that the quotation or representation of the "Letter of Agreement
17	Terms" is inc	complete and inaccurate and the Letter of Agreement speaks for itself. The
18	remaining all	egation in Paragraph 41 is a conclusion of law to which no response is required. To
19	the extent a r	esponse is required, SkyWest denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 41.
20		SkyWest's Claims of Bargaining
21	42.	SkyWest's annual reports speak for themselves. SkyWest denies the remaining
22	allegations in	Paragraph 42 and footnote 6.
23	43.	Because this Court previously held that the Customer Service Policy Manual
24	constitutes a	CBA under the RLA and that SAFA is an RLA bargaining representative, ECF No.
25	90, no furthe	r response to Paragraph 43 is necessary.
26	44.	Because this Court previously held that the Customer Service Policy Manual
27	constitutes a	CBA under the RLA and that SAFA is an RLA bargaining representative, ECF No.
28	90, no furthe	r response to Paragraph 44 is necessary.

1	54.	SkyWest alleges that the quotation or representation of the Customer Service
2	Policy Manu	al is incomplete and inaccurate and that the Policy Manual speaks for itself and that
3	no response i	s required.
4	55.	The allegations in Paragraph 55 constitute conclusions of law to which no
5	response is re	equired and the referenced statutes and local ordinances speak for themselves. To
6	the extent that	at a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 55.
7	56.	SkyWest alleges that the Plaintiff's representation of the Customer Service Policy
8	Manual in Pa	aragraph 56 is incomplete and inaccurate, and that the document speaks for itself.
9	The allegation	ns in Paragraph 56 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required.
10	To the extent	that a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 56.
11	57.	The allegations in Paragraph 57 constitute conclusions of law to which no
12	response is re	equired. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in
13	Paragraph 57	· ·
14	58.	The allegations in Paragraph 58 constitute conclusions of law to which no
15	response is re	equired. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in
16	Paragraph 58	
17		SkyWest's Wage Statements
18	59.	SkyWest admits that it provides wage statements to every Frontline Employee.
19	SkyWest den	ies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 59.
20	60.	The allegations in Paragraph 60 constitute conclusions of law to which no
21	response is re	equired. To the extent that a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in
22	Paragraph 60	).
23	61.	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 61.
24		San Francisco Minimum Compensation Ordinance
25	62.	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 62
26	63.	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 63.
27		<b>Unfair Competition</b>
28	64.	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 64.

the remaining allegations in Paragraph 75.

- 76. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 76 constitute conclusions of law, SkyWest alleges that no response is required. SkyWest denies that SkyWest, Inc. ever employed Meek. SkyWest is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 76 and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 76.
- 77. SkyWest denies that Meek was employed by SkyWest, Inc. SkyWest admits that Meek was employed by SkyWest Airlines, Inc. at the SFO station. SkyWest is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 77 and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 77.
- 78. SkyWest denies that Meek was employed by SkyWest, Inc. SkyWest admits that Meek was employed by SkyWest Airlines, Inc. at the SFO station. SkyWest is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 78 and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 78.
- 79. SkyWest denies that Meek was employed by SkyWest, Inc. SkyWest admits that Meek was employed by SkyWest Airlines, Inc. at the SFO station. SkyWest is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 79 and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 79.
- 80. SkyWest admits that Plaintiff was required to swipe in and out as he came and went from the workplace using a timeclock. SkyWest further admits that its DayForce system maintains records that include the times that employees punch in and out. SkyWest is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 80 and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 80.
- 81. The allegations in Paragraph 81 constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent that a response is required, SkyWest is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 81 and on that basis denies the allegations in Paragraph 81.
  - 82. SkyWest alleges that the representation or quotation of Meek's pay records is

6

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

17

18

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 incomplete and inaccurate and that the records speak for themselves. SkyWest denies that its timekeeping system is designed to feign compliance with California wage laws, and is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 82 and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 82.

- 83. SkyWest is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 83 and on that basis denies the allegations in Paragraph 83.
- 84. SkyWest alleges that the representation or quotation of Meek's time and pay record is incomplete and inaccurate and the records speak for themselves. The allegations in Paragraph 84 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required and the referenced wage laws speak for themselves. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 84 and on that basis denies the allegations in Paragraph 84.
- 85. SkyWest alleges that the representation or quotation of Meek's pay records is incomplete and inaccurate, and that the records speak for themselves. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 85 and on that basis denies the allegations in Paragraph 85.
- 86. SkyWest admits that its DayForce system maintains records that include the times that employees punch in and out. SkyWest is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 86 and on that basis denies the allegations in Paragraph 86.
  - 87. SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 87.
- 88. SkyWest admits that Meek worked for SkyWest Airlines, Inc. as a Ramp Agent. SkyWest Airlines, Inc. denies that SkyWest, Inc. ever employed Meek. SkyWest denies that Meek received less than the required wages for the work performed. SkyWest is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 88 and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 88.

#### **Plaintiff Jeremy Barnes**

89. SkyWest admits the allegations in Paragraph 89.

that SkyWest has failed to pay all amounts due to its employees but denies that any relief is

28

1 warranted. 2 110. SkyWest further admits that, on July 12, 2018, Barnes and Ross sent PAGA notice 3 to the same agency regarding their similar complaints but denies that any relief is warranted. 4 111. The allegations regarding what PAGA permits constitute legal conclusions to 5 which no response is required. SkyWest is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a 6 belief as to the remaining allegations in Paragraph 111 and on that basis, denies them. 7 COUNT 1 FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGES IN VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA LAW 8 (Cal. Wage Order No. 9-2001 § 4; Cal. Labor Code §§ 510, 511, 514, 1182.12, 1194, and 1194.2) 9 (Plaintiffs on Behalf of the California Class and/or Subclasses) SkyWest hereby incorporates its answers to all preceding paragraphs by reference 10 112. 11 as if set forth fully herein. 12 113. SkyWest denies that Plaintiffs were employed by SkyWest, Inc. SkyWest admits 13 that Plaintiffs were employed by SkyWest Airlines, Inc. SkyWest denies that Plaintiffs were 14 employed by SkyWest at all times relevant to this action. SkyWest is without sufficient 15 knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in 16 Paragraph 113 and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 113. 17 The allegations in Paragraph 114 constitute legal conclusions to which no response 18 is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 19 114, including that SkyWest, Inc. ever employed Plaintiffs or any other frontline employees. 20 115. The allegations in Paragraph 115 constitute conclusions of law to which no 21 response is required. 22 The allegations in Paragraph 116 constitute conclusions of law to which no 116. response is required.

- 23
  - 117. SkyWest admits that Paragraph 117 accurately quotes California Labor Code § 510(a).
  - 118. SkyWest admits that Paragraph 118 accurately quotes California Labor Code § 200(a) but denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 118.<sup>1</sup>

Plaintiffs' Consolidated Complaint Case No. 3:17-cv-01012-JD

24

25

26

27

The allegations in footnote 13 constitute legal conclusions to which no response is SkyWest Airlines, Inc.'s Amended Answer to - 14 -

	119.	The allegations in Paragraph 119 constitute conclusions of law to which no
respor	ise is red	quired. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in
Paragr	aph 119	).
	120.	The allegations in Paragraph 120 constitute conclusions of law to which no

- response is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in
- SkyWest alleges that the representation of DayForce records is incomplete and inaccurate, and that the records speak for themselves. To the extent a response is required,
- The allegations in Paragraph 123 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in
- The allegations in Paragraph 124 constitute conclusions of law to which no response is required. SkyWest denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the requested relief or any

### MISSED OR SHORTER MEAL AND REST BREAKS Cal. Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512, and IWC Wage Order 5-2001 (Plaintiffs on behalf of the California Class and/or Subclasses)

- SkyWest hereby incorporates its answers to all preceding paragraphs by reference
- SkyWest denies that Plaintiffs were employed by SkyWest, Inc. SkyWest admits that Plaintiffs were employed by SkyWest Airlines, Inc. SkyWest denies that Plaintiffs were employed by SkyWest at all times relevant to this action. SkyWest is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations in Paragraph 126 and on that basis denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 126.
  - The allegations in Paragraph 127 constitute conclusions of law to which no

- 15 -

1	response is required.
2	128. SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 128. <sup>2</sup>
3	129. SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 129.
4	130. The allegations in Paragraph 130 constitute conclusions of law to which no
5	response is required.
6	131. SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 131.
7	132. SkyWest admits that its DayForce system maintains records that include the time
8	that employees punch in and out. SkyWest denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 132.
9	133. SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 133.
10	134. The allegations in Paragraph 134 constitute conclusions of law to which no
11	response is required.
12	135. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 135 constitute conclusions of law,
13	no response is required. SkyWest denies that Plaintiffs or any purported class member are
14	entitled to any unpaid meal or rest break wages.
15	<u>COUNT III</u> FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME
16 17	FOR SHIFT TRADES AND OFF-THE-CLOCK TIME (Cal. Labor Code § 510, 511, 514, 1194) (Plaintiffs on behalf of the California Class and/or Subclasses)
18	136. SkyWest hereby incorporates its answers to all preceding paragraphs by reference
19	as if set forth fully herein.
20	137. SkyWest admits that the quoted language is a portion of California Labor Code
21	Section 510, but is incomplete and the statute speaks for itself.
22	138. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 138 constitute conclusions of law,
23	no response is required. SkyWest admits that it has a CBA. Otherwise, SkyWest denies the
24	allegations in Paragraph 138.
25	139. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 139 constitute conclusions of law,
26	no response is required. Otherwise, SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 139.
27 28	<sup>2</sup> The allegations in footnote 14 constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest admits that Plaintiffs are subject to IWC Wage Order #9 and denies the remaining allegations in footnote 14.

1	140. SkyWest alleges that the quotation or representation of the Customer Service
2	Policy Manual is incomplete and inaccurate and that the document speaks for itself. To the extent
3	that the allegations in Paragraph 140 constitute conclusions of law, no response is required.
4	SkyWest otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 140.
5	141. To the extent that the allegations in Paragraph 141 constitute conclusions of law,
6	no response is required. SkyWest otherwise denies the allegations in Paragraph 141.
7	142. The allegations in Paragraph 142 constitute conclusions of law to which no
8	response is required. SkyWest denies that Plaintiffs or any purported class member are entitled to
9	the requested relief or any relief whatsoever.
10	COUNT IV
11	FAILURE TO PROVIDE ACCURATELY ITEMIZED WAGE STATEMENTS (Cal. Labor Code § 226, 1174, and 1174.5)  (on behalf of Plaintiffs and the California Class and/or Subalasses)
12	(on behalf of Plaintiffs and the California Class and/or Subclasses)  143. SkyWest hereby incorporates its answers to all preceding paragraphs by reference
13	
14	as if set forth fully herein.
15	144. SkyWest alleges that representation of California Labor Code § 226 is incomplete
16	and inaccurate and the statute speaks for itself.
17	145. SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 145. <sup>3</sup>
18	146. SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 146.
19	147. SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 147.
20	148. SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 148.
20	149. The allegations in Paragraph 149 constitute conclusions of law to which no
21	response is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest denies that Plaintiffs or any
23	purported class member are entitled to the requested relief or any relief whatsoever.
	COUNT V
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	CALIFORNIA UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW  Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.  (On Robalf of Plaintiffs and the California Class and/or Subclasses)
	(On Behalf of Plaintiffs and the California Class and/or Subclasses)  150. SkyWest hereby incorporates its answers to all preceding paragraphs by reference
26	150. SkyWest hereby incorporates its answers to all preceding paragraphs by reference

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The allegations in footnote 16 constitute legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest admits that Plaintiffs are subject to IWC Wage Order #9 and denies the remaining allegations.

1	as if set forth fu	Illy herein.
2	151.	The allegations in Paragraph 151 constitute conclusions of law to which no
3	response is requ	aired. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in
4	Paragraph 151.	
5	152.	The allegations in Paragraph 152 constitute conclusions of law to which no
6	response is requ	nired. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in
7	Paragraph 152.	
8	153. S	SkyWest denies that Paragraph 153 accurately quotes a portion of California
9	Business and Pr	rofessions Code § 17204.
10	154. S	SkyWest admits that Paragraph 154 accurately paraphrases California Labor Code
11	section 90.5(a).	
12	155. S	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 155.
13	156.	The allegations in Paragraph 156 constitute conclusions of law to which no
14	response is requ	nired. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in
15	Paragraph 156.	
16	157. S	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 157.
17	158. S	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 158.
18	159. S	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 159.
19	160. 7	The allegations in Paragraph 160 constitute conclusions of law to which no
20	response is requ	nired. To the extent a response is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in
21	Paragraph 160.	
22	161. S	SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 161.
23		<u>COUNT VI</u> WAITING TIME PENALTIES
24	(Plaint	Cal. Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203 and 204
25		tiffs on behalf of the California Former Frontline Employee Subclass)  SkyWest hereby incorporates its answers to all preceding paragraphs by reference
26	as if set forth fu	
27		The allegations in Paragraph 163 constitute conclusions of law to which no
28		6 1 10 1

1	183. SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 183.
2	184. The allegations in Paragraph 184 constitute conclusions of law to which no
3	response is required and the referenced statute speaks for itself. To the extent a further response
4	is required, SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 184.
5	185. SkyWest denies the allegations in Paragraph 185 and denies that Plaintiffs or any
6	purported class member are entitled to the requested relief or any relief whatsoever.
7 8	COUNT VIII  PRIVATE ATTORNEY GENERAL ACT OF 2004 ("PAGA")  California Labor Code § 2698 et seq. (Plaintiffs Individually and on a Representative Basis)
9	186. SkyWest hereby incorporates its answers to all preceding paragraphs by reference
10	as if set forth fully herein.
11	187. SkyWest admits that Barnes and Ross and their representatives sent Exhibits 1 and
12	2 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency on August 15, 2017 and July 12,
13	2018. SkyWest is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the
14	remaining allegations in Paragraph 187 and on that basis, denies them.
15	188. SkyWest admits that Plaintiffs seek the requested relief but denies that Plaintiffs or
16	any current or former SkyWest employees are entitled to such relief or any relief whatsoever.
17	PRAYERS FOR RELIEF
18	SkyWest denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to the relief requested in the Prayers for Relief,
19	subparts A through I, on pages 42 to 43 of the CCAC, and further denies that Plaintiffs or any
20	purported class members are entitled to any relief whatsoever.
21	JURY DEMAND
22	SkyWest states that with respect to Plaintiffs' demand for a trial by jury on page 43 of the
23	CCAC, there is no material allegation to which it must respond. To the extent that a response is
24	required to the jury demand, SkyWest denies that a jury trial is available on the Fifth Cause of
25	Action alleged under California Business & Professional Code § 17200 et seq., on the grounds
26	that only equitable relief can be sought under this cause of action as a matter of law.
27	SKYWEST'S AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND OTHER DEFENSES
28	Without admitting any of the allegations in the CCAC, SkyWest hereby sets forth the

1	separate and additional defenses to the CCAC, and each and every cause of action or claim
2	alleged therein, without assuming or undertaking any burden of proof not otherwise assigned to it
3	by law. Further, all such defenses are pled in the alternative and do not constitute an admission of
4	liability or an admission that Plaintiff is entitled to any relief as requested, or in any amounts, or
5	at all. SkyWest may have additional defenses of which they are not currently fully aware and
6	reserve the right to assert additional defenses after they are ascertained.
7	FIRST DEFENSE
8	(Waiver, Release, and/or Estoppel)
9	1. Plaintiffs' claims as to each and every putative class member are barred, in whole
10	or in part, to the extent that the doctrines of waiver, release, and/or estoppel apply to them.
11	SECOND DEFENSE
12	(Laches and Unclean Hands)
13	2. Plaintiffs' claims as to each and every putative class member are barred, in whole
14	or in part, to the extent that the doctrines of laches and unclean hands apply to them.
15	THIRD DEFENSE
16	(Preemption)
17	3. The CCAC, and each and every claim alleged therein, are preempted in whole or
18	in part by federal law, including, but not limited to, the Airline Deregulation Act, 49 U.S.C. §
19	41713, the Railway Labor Act, 45 U.S.C. §§ 151 et seq., the Federal Aviation Administration
20	Authorization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 14501, and by the principles of field preemption and conflict
21	preemption.
22	FOURTH DEFENSE
23	(Nullification of Contract)
24	4. The CCAC, and each and every cause of action or claim alleged therein, are barred
25	as they seek to nullify contractual agreements which were voluntarily and knowingly entered into,
26	with consideration, and without duress.
27	FIFTH DEFENSE
28	rir i ii derense

1 (Set Off, Offset, Recoupment, Rescission, and/or Restitution) 5. 2 SkyWest is entitled to setoff and/or to recoup any monies paid to Plaintiffs or the 3 putative class members; and some or all of Plaintiffs' claims and the claims of putative class 4 members are barred, in whole or in part, by exclusions, exceptions or credits under applicable 5 federal and state law. 6 SIXTH DEFENSE 7 (Adequate Remedy at Law) 6. 8 Plaintiffs or the putative class members are not entitled to equitable relief insofar 9 as they have adequate remedies at law. 10 SEVENTH DEFENSE 11 (No Willfulness) 7. 12 Plaintiffs or the putative class members are not entitled to some or all of the relief 13 requested under the CCAC because, even if unlawful actions occurred, which SkyWest denies, 14 such conduct was not willful. 15 EIGHTH DEFENSE 16 (Violation of Due Process as to Statutory Penalties) 17 8. Although SkyWest denies that it has committed or has responsibility for any act 18 that could support the recovery of civil or statutory penalties in this lawsuit, if and to the extent 19 any such act or responsibility is found, recovery of civil or statutory penalties against SkyWest is 20 unconstitutional under numerous provisions of the United States Constitution and the California 21 Constitution, including the excessive-fines clause of the Eighth Amendment, the due-process 22 clauses of the Fifth Amendment and Section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment, the self-23 incrimination clause of the Fifth Amendment, and other provisions of the United States 24 Constitution, and the excessive-fines clause of Section 17 of Article 1, the due-process clause of 25 Section 7 of Article 1, the self-incrimination clause of Section 15 of Article 1, and other 26 provisions of the California Constitution. 27 **NINTH DEFENSE** 28 (Legitimate Business Purpose; No Unfair Business Practice) SkyWest Airlines, Inc.'s Amended Answer to

1	9. SkyWest is not liable for any violation of the Unfair Competition Law, California
2	Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq., because its actions or practices were not
3	unfair, unlawful, fraudulent or deceptive, and their conduct and dealings were lawful, as
4	authorized by applicable federal and state statutes, rules and regulations, and such actions,
5	conduct and dealings were carried out in good faith and for legitimate business practices.
6	Furthermore, SkyWest did not set unlawful terms and conditions for Plaintiffs or any putative
7	class member.
8	TENTH DEFENSE
9	(No Injunctive or Declaratory Relief; Balancing of Hardships)
10	10. Plaintiffs or the putative class members are not entitled to injunctive or declaratory
11	relief because the benefit of such relief, if any, is slight compared to the harm SkyWest will suffer
12	if injunctive or declaratory relief is permitted.
13	ELEVENTH DEFENSE
14	(Lack of Irreparable Harm)
15	11. Plaintiffs or the putative class members are not entitled to injunctive or declaratory
16	relief because neither Plaintiffs nor the putative class members will suffer any irreparable injury is
17	injunctive or declaratory relief is declined, and for the reason that Plaintiffs or the putative class
18	members cannot show any irreparable injury.
19	TWELFTH DEFENSE
20	(Standing)
21	12. Plaintiffs' claims as to each and every putative class member are barred to the
22	extent Plaintiffs or any putative class member lacks standing to assert them, including, but not
23	limited to, claims governed by a one-year statute of limitations, and because there is no private
24	right of action under the QSP, and no exhaustion of administrative remedies under the MCO.
25	THIRTEENTH DEFENSE
26	(Failure to Exhaust Administrative Remedies)
27	13. Claim seven is barred because neither Plaintiffs nor any putative class member
28	exhausted administrative remedies.

1	FOURTEENTH DEFENSE
2	(Lack of Employment Relationship)
3	14. All claims against SkyWest, Inc. fail because SkyWest, Inc. never employed
4	Plaintiffs or any other frontline employee or purported class member.
5	FIFTEENTH DEFENSE
6	(Railway Labor Act Exemption; All Overtime)
7	15. Plaintiffs' claim for unpaid overtime wages is barred because they are subject to an
8	RLA collective bargaining agreement and, under Wage Order 9(1)(E), are expressly exempt from
9	California's overtime laws, including those under the Labor Code. See 8 C.C.R. § 11090(1)(E);
10	Collins v. Overnite Transport. Co., 129 Cal. Rptr. 2d 254, 260 (Ct. App. 2003); Angeles v. US
11	Airways, Inc., 790 Fed. App'x 878, 880 (9th Cir. 2020) (Mem.).
12	SIXTEENTH DEFENSE
13	(Railway Labor Act Exemption; Overtime from Shift Trades)
14	16. Plaintiffs' claim for unpaid overtime wages is barred in whole or in part because
15	they are subject to an RLA collective bargaining agreement and, under Wage Order 9(3)(N), are
16	expressly exempt from the payment of overtime as a result of trading shifts. See 8 C.C.R. §
17	11090(3)(N).
18	SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE
19	(No Entitlement to Jury Trial—Certain Claims)
20	17. SkyWest alleges that Plaintiffs are not entitled to a trial by jury on some of their
21	claims, including their claim under California Business and Professions Code sections 17200, et
22	seq.
23	EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE
24	(Dormant Commerce Clause)
25	18. SkyWest alleges that Plaintiffs' and the putative class members' claims are barred
26	under the Dormant Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution as they have the effect of
27	enforcing California laws that are discriminatory to interstate commerce.
28	NINETEENTH DEFENSE
	SkyWest Airlines Inc 's Amended Answer to

1 (Railway Labor Act Exemption; Wage Statement Requirements) 2 19. Plaintiffs' claim for inaccurate or inadequate wage statements is barred in whole or 3 in part because they are subject to an RLA collective bargaining agreement and, under Wage 4 Order 9(1)(E), are expressly exempt from California's wage statement laws, including those 5 under the Labor Code. See 8 C.C.R. § 11090(1)(E); Collins v. Overnite Transport. Co., 129 Cal. 6 Rptr. 2d 254, 260 (Ct. App. 2003); Angeles v. US Airways, Inc., 790 Fed. App'x 878, 880 (9th 7 Cir. 2020) (Mem.). 8 **RESERVATION OF RIGHTS** 9 SkyWest has not knowingly or intentionally waived any applicable affirmative defense 10 and reserves the right to assert and rely on such other applicable affirmative defenses as may later 11 become available or apparent. SkyWest further reserves the right to amend its answer and/or 12 affirmative defenses accordingly and/or to delete affirmative defenses that it determines are not 13 applicable during the course of subsequent discovery. Nothing stated herein constitutes a 14 concession as to whether or not Plaintiff bears the burden of proof on any issue. 15 PRAYERS FOR RELIEF 16 WHERETOFORE, SkyWest prays as follows: 17 1. That Plaintiffs take nothing by reason of the CCAC; 2. 18 That no declaratory judgment shall issue as requested by Plaintiffs; 19 3. That Plaintiffs not be awarded attorneys' fees at all; 20 4. That the CCAC be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice; 21 5. That judgment be entered for SkyWest; 22 6. That SkyWest shall recover all costs of suit and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred 23 herein; and 7. 24 For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 25 26 27 28

### Case 3:17-cv-01012-JD Document 119 Filed 03/12/20 Page 27 of 27

1	Dated: March 12, 2020	Respectfully submitted,
2		Jones Day
3		
4		By: /s/ Amanda C. Sommerfeld Amanda C. Sommerfeld
5		
6		Counsel for Defendant SKYWEST AIRLINES, INC.
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		SkyWest Airlines, Inc.'s Amended Answer to